

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1459 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/649,840 08/27/2003 Willibrord A. Groten CDT 1612 CON 7024 06/30/2004 EXAMINER 1338 7590 KENNETH H. JOHNSON ARNOLD JR, JAMES P.O. BOX 630708 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER HOUSTON, TX 772630000 1764

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | -// |
|---|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 10/649,840 | GROTEN, WILLIBRORD A. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | James Arnold, Jr. | 1764 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fre, cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A | August 2003. | |
| | s action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a | ance except for formal matters, p | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected or b)⊡ objected or abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ation No ived in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | • | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summa | ary (PTO-413) |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>24 December 2003</u>. | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | Date al Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/649,840

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chapus et al. (USPN 6,007,704).

The Chapus reference discloses a process for the production of gasoline with a low sulfur content that comprises at least one selective hydrogenation of dienes (diolefins) that are present in the starting gasoline, at least one fractionation of the gasoline that is obtained in stage a or b into at least two fractions: a light fraction and a heavy fraction, and a desulfurization treatment in one stage of at least a portion of the heavy fraction obtained from the fractionation. The reference discloses subjecting the feed to a diene hydrogenation. See Column 2, lines 40-65; See Abstract, See Column 10, lines 45-68; See Column 11, lines 1-35. See Column 12, lines 1-35.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,840

Art Unit: 1764

The reference does not disclose at least one stage for increasing the molecular weight (transformation) of light sulfur-containing compounds and sulfur compounds including mercaptans, thiophenes, and thiophenic compounds that are present in the gasoline, or a process wherein selective hydrogenation of diolefins, and transformation of light sulfur-containing compounds occur simultaneously in the same reactor. The reference does not disclose separation of the feed into three fractions including an intermediate fraction and transformation of the intermediate fraction.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize at least one stage for increasing the molecular weight (transformation) of light sulfur-containing compounds and sulfur compounds including mercaptans, thiophenes, and thiophenic compounds that are present in the gasoline, or a process wherein selective hydrogenation of diolefins, and transformation of light sulfur-containing compounds occur simultaneously in the same reactor because the feed is passed over a diene hydrogenation catalyst which increases the molecular weight of the feed and would be expected to similarly increase the molecular weight of the sulfur products within the feed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a process that involves separation of the feed into three fractions including an intermediate fraction and transformation of the intermediate fraction because the reference discloses fractionating the gasoline cut into "at least" two fractions, which implies that an intermediate fraction is possible, and because the reference teaches that lighter cuts (which can include an intermediate fraction) contain fewer sulfur compounds and may be subjected to a hydrogenation of diolefins. See Column 2, lines 40-65.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,840

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James Arnold, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1443. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM; Fridays from 8:30

AM-5:00 PM with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ja

June 28, 2004

Walter D. Griffin

Primary Examiner